

# Trends in Medicaid Drug Policy

**Association for Community Affiliated Plans**

Alex Dworkowitz

June 20, 2019

**State Medicaid programs, the Trump administration, Medicaid MCOs, manufacturers, and Congress are all considering reforms to the drug coverage and reimbursement system under Medicaid and other payers.**

Will these efforts actually reduce costs?

What will happen to beneficiary access to drugs?

Do states and Medicaid managed care organizations (MCO) have sufficient legal flexibility to enact change?

# What States Are Doing: Alternative Drug Reimbursement Arrangements

- Four states have received CMS approval to enact value-based purchasing arrangements through supplemental rebate agreements: Colorado, Michigan, Oklahoma, and Washington.
  - E.g., Melinta Therapeutics pays higher rebates to Oklahoma if its bacterial skin infection drug fails to keep patients out of the hospital.
- Louisiana and Washington have selected manufacturers (Gilead and Abbvie) to participate in their capped financing models for Hepatitis C drugs.
- Legal barriers.
  - Best price.
    - Avoided through supplemental rebate agreements.
    - But such exception does not apply to Medicaid MCO rebates.
  - Anti-kickback statute.
  - 1927 rebate reporting and timing requirements.
  - State laws on budgetary appropriations.

- New York:
  - If cap exceeded, DURB recommends target supplemental rebate.
  - If manufacturer does not pay at least 75% of target, faces penalties (e.g., transparency requirements, PA, non-coverage under managed care, end of prescriber prevails).
- Maryland:
  - Drug Affordability Review Board to set ceiling prices for government programs.
  - Likely will result in seeking increased supplemental rebates under Medicaid.
- Massachusetts (*proposed*):
  - State can set a target value for high-cost drugs.
  - If manufacturer supplemental rebates are insufficient, manufacturer can be referred to Health Policy Commission for disclosures/testimony or AG re consumer protection laws.
- States relying more on information from effectiveness research organizations such as the Institute for Clinical and Economic Research (ICER).
- Caps are more effective for drugs that have competitors.
- States cannot legally deny coverage, but reputational impact.

- Proposal: Rebates not passed through at a point-of-sale would no longer have protection under the discount safe harbor (applies rebates paid to MCOs only).
- Goals
  - Lower list prices.
  - Lower cost sharing.
  - Lower profits of “middlemen.”
- No direct benefit to Medicaid beneficiaries because cost sharing is very low.
- OACT estimate: Proposed rule would increase Medicaid costs by \$2 billion over 10 years (compared to nearly \$200 billion for Medicare Part D).
- Carve out incentive: MCOs can’t demand rebates for preferred placement, but states can.
- Legislation to extend to commercial market: higher likelihood of lower list prices.
- Potential impact on Medicaid even if exclude Medicaid MCOs (lower list prices, rebates).
- If adopted as proposed, primary effect will be lower Part D cost sharing in exchange for higher Part D premiums and higher government spending.

- Gene therapies have potentially tremendous clinical benefits, but very high costs.
- List prices of approved CAR Ts:
  - Kymriah: \$475,000.
  - Yescarta: \$373,000.
- List prices of approved gene therapies:
  - Luxturna for a form of blindness: \$850,000 (both eyes).
  - Zolgensma for spinal muscular atrophy (SMA): \$2.1 million.
- Populations for approved therapies are quite small, but will increase with new approvals.
- Proposals to address cost:
  - VBP (potentially over many years).
  - Risk sharing.
  - Reinsurance.
  - DRG reforms.

- MACPAC: Proposes 180-day coverage grace period for new therapies.
- Possible Section 1927 waiver?
  - CMS denied Massachusetts waiver seeking a closed formulary.
  - CMS has proposed demonstration but states would lose guaranteed rebates.
  - Reemergence under a block grant demonstration?
- End of rebates outside of Medicaid and a drop in list prices?
- Less Medicaid MCO control of drug management.
  - Growing use of unified formularies by states.
  - Return of drug carve outs?
    - Impact of OIG safe harbor rule.
    - Wider use of value-based purchasing.
- Further efforts to target PBM spread pricing following Ohio model (e.g., NY).
- Legislation intended to give states and MCOs more flexibility on VBP and other models.

# Thank You!